

CUSC Alternative Form

CMP376 WACM2: Milestone M6 to become “Submit” Construction Programme and apply to all signed construction agreements (where the Completion Date is more than two years away)

Overview: Milestone M6 to become “submit construction programme” rather than “agree construction programme” and apply the Queue Management Process and milestones to all signed construction agreements (where the Completion Date is more than two years away on the implementation date), as well, as per the original solution, to any new applications and Modification Applications submitted to the ESO after the implementation date

Proposer: Tony Cotton, Energy Technical & Renewable Services Ltd

Contents

- What is the proposed alternative solution?
 - Difference between this and the Original Proposal
- What is the impact of this change?
- When will the change take place?
- Acronyms, key terms and reference material

What is the proposed alternative solution?

As per Original but Milestone M6 to become “Submit construction programme” rather than “Agree construction programme”

Milestone 6) Submit Construction Programme	<p>The User must submit a programme for the detailed User's Works with The Company which demonstrates how they will be progressing the User's Works to achieve the Completion Date.</p>	<p>The User's programme of works shall demonstrate how the User will be ready for the Commissioning Programme Start Date and Completion Date. It shall as a minimum contain the following details.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start and end date of the works • User award of main procurement/construction and installation contracts • User start construction on site date • User completion of works required for the Commissioning Programme to commence. • Commissioning Programme Commencement Date aligned with Appendix J • Completion date
---	---	--

Apply the Queue Management Process and milestones to all signed construction agreements (where the Completion Date is more than two years away on the implementation date as per WACM7), as well, as per the original solution, to any new applications and Modification Applications submitted to the ESO after the implementation date.

What is the difference between this and the Original Proposal?

The Original proposal has that the User has to agree their Construction Programme with the ESO. The proposal will allow the User to submit a construction programme which contains the identified details, rather than having ESO review and approve a User's program with undefined deliverables and timescales.

Additionally, the Queue Management Process and milestones will be applied to all signed construction agreements (where the Completion Date is more than two years away on the implementation date as per WACM7), as well, as per the original solution, to any new

applications and Modification Applications submitted to the ESO after the implementation date

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's Assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;	Positive: This alternative will provide clarity on the timescales by which this CUSC Modification will be implemented to all parties in line with Licenced timescales
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;	Positive: Ensures consistency of what details are provided within the construction programs; and will better support effective competition, by making it easier for parties to connect to the NETS swiftly and economically where they are able to progress, as well as through equal treatment of all users compared to the CMP376 Original implementation approach
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and	None
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.	Positive: Under the current milestone, the User is required to agree a construction plan with the ESO. Agree Construction Plan at present does

not have a clearly defined process of what is required, which includes:

- contents and level of detail in the plan;
- what would be allowable as reasons to reject a plan

Under this proposal the evidence required is more clearly detailed for the User. The need to submit rather than agree reduces the administrative overhead for both the ESO and the User.

Also will ensure a time-bound implementation window of CMP376, rather than leaving it open-ended through ongoing Modification Applications. It will clarify a consistent process for proactively managing connection offers, thereby reducing ambiguity, and promoting efficiency in contract management.

*The Electricity Regulation referred to in objective (c) is Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) as it has effect immediately before IP completion day as read with the modifications set out in the SI 2020/1006.

When will this change take place?

Implementation date:

As per Original

Implementation approach:

As per WACM7

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning
ESO	Electricity System Operator
NETS	National Electricity Transmission System
WACM	Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modification

Reference material:

None